

ASSESSING THE PRESENCE OF A BURROWING OWL (*ATHENE CUNICULARIA*) POPULATION AT THE SOUTHERN CONTINENTAL LIMIT OF ITS DISTRIBUTION, SANTA CRUZ PROVINCE, PATAGONIA, ARGENTINA

MIGUEL D. SAGGESE^{1*} AND ROMÁN MONTERO²

¹College of Veterinary Medicine, Western University of Health Sciences, 309 East Second Street, Pomona, CA 91766 USA.

²Zapiola 1468, 1712 Castelar, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

* msaggese@westernu.edu

ABSTRACT.— The historical continental distribution of the Burrowing Owl (*Athene cunicularia*) in Argentina included Santa Cruz province. However, for almost a century its presence there was hypothetical, based on a few, sometimes even erroneous, published records. Its precise distribution and status were unknown. In the present study, using a combination of personal observations and surveys, critical literature review, searches in online databases (EcoRegistros and eBird), scrutiny of Facebook pages and group posts focused on Argentine and Patagonian birds and wildlife photography, and information provided by citizen scientists and ornithologists, we confirmed the current Burrowing Owl's presence in Santa Cruz province. The species appears to be mostly localized to the city of Caleta Olivia and nearby open areas, Deseado Department, northeastern Santa Cruz province, within the Patagonian Steppe and, along the Atlantic coast, in the Mar Argentino ecoregions. Burrowing Owl breeds locally there, and individuals are seen yearlong. Although there are a few recent records of Burrowing Owl at other localities of Santa Cruz, we did not find evidence of a current broader distribution in this province, as most general bird guides and general ornithology books indicated in their distribution maps for the species. The southernmost known population of Burrowing Owls, restricted to northeastern Santa Cruz, provides a unique opportunity to monitor its progress. Furthermore, it could allow us to investigate the limiting factors and dynamics of its population in urban and natural areas of the Patagonian steppe and the Atlantic coast of Santa Cruz province.

Key words: *Argentina, breeding, citizen science, distribution, Patagonia, population, status, Strigiformes*

RESUMEN.— EVALUACIÓN DE LA PRESENCIA DE LA LECHUCITA DE LAS VIZCACHERAS (*ATHENE CUNICULARIA*) EN EL LÍMITE SUR CONTINENTAL DE SU DISTRIBUCIÓN EN LA PROVINCIA DE SANTA CRUZ, PATAGONIA, ARGENTINA. Históricamente, la distribución continental de la Lechucita de las Vizcacheras (*Athene cunicularia*) en la Argentina incluyó a la provincia de Santa Cruz. Sin embargo, durante casi un siglo, su presencia en la provincia estuvo basada en escasos, incluso erróneos, registros publicados, desconociéndose su estatus y distribución precisa. Confirmamos la presencia actual de esta especie en la provincia de Santa Cruz a través de diversas fuentes, incluyendo observaciones personales, encuestas, revisión crítica de bibliografía, búsqueda en bases de datos electrónicas (EcoRegistros y eBird), escrutinio de publicaciones en páginas y grupos de Facebook, enfocadas en aves argentinas y patagónicas, así como en fotografía de vida silvestre, y de información proporcionada por ciudadanos científicos y ornitólogos. Fue localizada mayormente en la ciudad de Caleta Olivia y sus áreas cercanas en el Departamento Deseado, noreste de Santa Cruz, en las ecorregiones de Estepa Patagónica y Mar Argentino; en este último caso, a lo largo de la costa atlántica. La especie nidifica en el área y se observan individuos durante todo el año. Si bien existen escasos registros recientes en otras áreas de Santa Cruz, no encontramos evidencias de una distribución actualizada más amplia en esta provincia, como lo indica la mayoría de los mapas de distribución en guías de aves y libros sobre ornitología general. La presencia de una población residente de Lechucita de las Vizcacheras en Santa Cruz, en el límite meridional de su distribución americana, provee una oportunidad única para su seguimiento, evaluar los factores que la limitan, y entender su dinámica poblacional en áreas urbanas y naturales de la estepa patagónica y la costa atlántica en esta provincia.

Received 25 January 2021, accepted 10 May 2021

Associate editor: Beatriz Martínez-Miranzo

There is very limited, and frequently outdated, information on the status, natural history, and distribution of Neotropical owls (Marks et al. 1999, Trejo et al. 2012, Rangel-Salazar and Enríquez 2015), even though this information is essential for evaluating population fluctuations, response to environmental changes, and threats (Rangel-Salazar and Enríquez 2015, Trejo and Bó 2015). Relatively better known

are Neotropical owls inhabiting open and semi-open lands, such as the Burrowing Owl (*Athene cunicularia*) (Trejo et al. 2012, Trejo and Bó 2015, Rangel-Salazar and Enríquez 2015), a widely distributed species from southern Canada and the United States of America to southern Argentina and Chile (Marks et al. 1999, Poulin et al. 2020). In Argentina, the Burrowing Owl is found in the southern, central, and northern prov-

inces, and it is considered a species of “least concern” (Trejo and Bó 2015, MAYDS and AA 2017). Currently, detailed information about its regional status is limited. In those areas where it has been recently studied, such as agroecosystems in the Pampas of central Argentina, the Burrowing Owl seems to be thriving (Sánchez et al. 2008, Pairo et al. 2017, Rebolo-Ifrán et al. 2017). The species has also successfully colonized several urban areas, benefitting from artificial night lighting, habituation to human’s presence, increased foraging efficiency, and availability of nesting substrates and prey (Sánchez et al. 2008, Cavalli et al. 2014, 2018, Rebolo-Ifrán et al. 2017; Baladrón et al. 2020, Rodríguez et al. 2021). In contrast, some populations in Canada and in the United States are declining and considered threatened due to habitat loss and fragmentation, and lack of burrows (Holroyd et al. 2001, Conway 2018, Poulin et al. 2020). A matter of concern is the fact that, by the 1920s, the Burrowing Owl was extirpated from Tierra del Fuego island in the southernmost province of Argentina, possibly due to sheep ranching practices; this population never recovered, and it is now considered extinct (Reynolds 1935, Iriarte et al. 2019). Thus, collecting baseline information about the presence of this species in its southernmost continental range of distribution may contribute to better understanding regional variations in its ecology and conservation status (Sexton et al. 2009). This is particularly important in Santa Cruz province, southern continental Patagonia, where anthropogenic activities such as the use of baits with strychnine and carbofuran for predator control, direct persecution, hold-leg traps, mining, and oil extraction are known to negatively affect several species of raptors (Olrog 1979a, De Lucca and Saggese 1989, Travaini et al. 2000, García Brea et al. 2010).

Historically, the Burrowing Owl was documented in Santa Cruz province by Scott and Sharpe (1915), based on observations made in the Río Chico Department during the late nineteenth century. Almost a hundred years after these initial observations, and without additional published records for this province, Navas and Bó (1997) reported that the Burrowing Owl was present in northeastern Santa Cruz, based on eleven skulls recovered from open petroleum pits, where these and many other birds died (Tubaro et al. 2000). Subsequently, Darrieu et al. (2008) included the species in their list of Santa Cruz province avifauna; however, other ornithological surveys of Santa Cruz province did not include the Burrowing Owl (Zapata 1967, Olrog 1979a, Chébez et al. 1988, De Lucca and Saggese 1992, Travaini et al. 2004, Im-

berti 2005, Roesler et al. 2014). Even in the absence of detailed records, and possibly due to these few existing early reports, several ornithological reference books have included most or the entire Santa Cruz province within the distribution range of this species (e.g., Olrog 1979b, 1984, Narosky and Yzurieta 1987, Fjeldså and Krabbe 1990, Canevari et al. 1991, Clark 1997, Mazar-Barnet and Pearman 2001, De la Peña 2019). Despite all listed above, the current distribution range, breeding areas and overall status of the Burrowing Owl in this province is unknown. Therefore, our goal was to investigate and determine the past and present status and distribution range of this owl in Santa Cruz province in southern continental Patagonia, Argentina. We also discuss potential factors that might influence its demography there. To the best of the author’s knowledge, this is the first analysis of Burrowing Owl’s population at the southern limit of its Pan-American distribution.

STUDY AREA AND METHODS

Study area

Santa Cruz province is in the southernmost continental end of Argentina, separated from Tierra del Fuego Island, insular Patagonia (Argentina and Chile) by the Strait of Magallanes. After Buenos Aires province, it is the second largest political geographic unit of this country, and the province with the lowest number of inhabitants/km². Several major ecoregions and their respective ecotones can be found in Santa Cruz (Burkart et al 1999, Coronato et al. 2008). In the West, the orography is dominated by the Andes mountains, home of the Magallanes and Valdivian forest ecoregions. East of the Andes, mainland Santa Cruz is characterized by a succession of plateaus and lowlands where other major ecoregions are the Patagonian Steppe (central, east, and north) and the Patagonian grasslands (south). In the East, along the Atlantic coast, the Mar Argentino ecoregion covers its entire extent; cliffs of variable height and sand/pebbled beaches can be found there. For a detailed physical depiction of Santa Cruz phytogeography, hydrography, orography, and climate see Paruelo et al. (1998) and Coronato et al. (2008).

Human population in Santa Cruz province is scarce, mostly concentrated in a few cities and towns, with an average population density of 1.1 inhabitants/km² (INDEC 2012). Approximately 50% of Santa Cruz human population is found in a few coastal cities such

as Río Gallegos and Caleta Olivia, with more than 50 000 inhabitants each. Most towns have less than 10 000-20 000 inhabitants. Private ranches dominate the area, and the landscape has been strongly modified by a hundred-year long tradition of sheep ranching, which was considered as one of the most important economic activities in the province. In addition, mining, gas, and petroleum extractions are other important components of Santa Cruz economy. Fishing, timber industry, and tourism are additional economic activities, although more seasonal in character. Only 8% of Santa Cruz province is legally protected as national and provincial parks, mainly localized in the west and along the Atlantic coast; the remainder of the land is privately owned (Di Giacomo 2007).

Burrowing Owl records and analysis

To understand the distribution and the status of Burrowing Owl in Santa Cruz we combined a series of approaches to collect data on the species. First, we conducted a detailed literature review to identify previously published and historical records of the species in Santa Cruz province. When possible, we contacted the authors to ask them for additional information and to corroborate some of the information thereby indicated. We also searched for other Burrowing Owl records in online databases (EcoRegistros and eBird). We also searched for photos and comments about this species in Argentinian Facebook pages and groups where raptors, including Burrowing Owl, were commonly featured. The authors of these records, photos and posts were also contacted, when possible, to obtain additional information (see below). We also contacted wildlife photographers, park rangers, ornithologists and birdwatchers who have visited Santa Cruz in the last two decades or are residents there. We concluded our search for records on this species on July 31, 2020. Additionally, we included data gathered during a recent ornithological trip in Santa Cruz (January 2020, R. Montero).

Overall, for each record we aimed to obtain information on date, location (locality and department), georeferences, number and age (adults or juveniles), presence of burrows, and evidence of reproduction (observation of eggs, nestlings and/or fledglings/juveniles) when available. For all collected records we identified the main ecoregion of Santa Cruz province where observations were made following Burkart et al. (1999) and Coronato et al. (2008). Reports of Burrowing Owls observed in areas inside or in the immediate periphery of houses and other buildings within

city limits were classified as urban. We considered the southern seasons as follows: summer (21 December–March 20); fall (21 March–20 June); winter (21 June–20 September); and spring (21 September–20 December). To avoid duplicating and overestimating the number of records, Burrowing Owls observed in several successive days (< 3 weeks) and in the same location ca. 500 m radius from its first observation and in the same year were considered as a single report. Burrowing Owls were considered resident when seen repeatedly during the year and in different seasons. Local citizens and other contributors were kindly asked to contribute with photographs of the species, when available, as indisputable evidence of their observations.

RESULTS

The analyses of previously published records of Burrowing Owls allowed us to identify all historical reports on the species and to discover and to correct erroneous reports for Santa Cruz province (Table 1).

We obtained a total of 32 new, unpublished records of Burrowing Owls in Santa Cruz province, 18 of them were supported by photographic evidence in the period Sep 2012-Jul 2020 (Table 2). We did not identify through our search any other unpublished observations on the species before this period. Subsequent follow up and communication with contributors allowed us to obtain additional information for 19 records, including those supported by evidence (Table 2). These records of Burrowing Owls were collected in the province of Santa Cruz from a thorough assessment of website databases: 11 on Facebook, four on EcoRegistros and 17 on eBird.

Burrowing Owls were observed in urban and non-urban open land areas in or nearby Caleta Olivia city, respectively (Table 2, Figs. 1a and 1b), Patagonian Steppe ecoregion. Burrowing Owls were observed perching on or standing nearby houses, sides of urban lagoons, wire fence posts, yards, piles of earth, and roadsides and highways. Burrowing Owls were also observed along the Atlantic coast, Mar Argentino ecoregion, in non-populated areas north of the Caleta Olivia city, such as in the areas called La Lobería and Playa Acina (Table 2, Fig. 1c). Burrowing Owls were observed in seven opportunities on the coastal sand dunes and on the beach alongside the strips of land (approximately 100 m wide) between the National Highway 3 (RN3) and the sea (Table 2).

Table 1. Published records of Burrowing Owl in Santa Cruz. Those that were validated, accepted or confirmed are indicated with an asterisk (*)

Locality	Reference	Comments
Río Chico Department	Scott and Sharpe (1915)*	Record from 1898, one adult female and one adult male collected by AE Colburn.
Cañadón Seco, Canadón León, El Cordón, and Piedra Clavada, Deseado Department	Navas and Bó (1997)*	These records are based on eleven skulls found at several oil pits in these localities of northeastern Santa Cruz, Deseado Department, in March and May 1994. These authors attributed these skulls to this species, preliminarily identified by A. Di Giacomo (Navas and Bó (1997), A. Di Giacomo pers. comm.).
Cabo Vírgenes, Güer Aike Department.		
Estancia La Angostura, Río Chico Department.	Imberti <i>in</i> Darrieu et al. (2008)	Publisher error in Darrieu et al. (2008) (Imberti, pers. comm.).
Ría of Gallegos river, Güer Aike Department		
Pinturas river, Lago Buenos Aires Department	Imberti <i>in</i> Darrieu et al. (2008)	Publisher error in Darrieu et al. (2008) (Imberti, pers. comm.). This observation corresponds to Cueva de las Manos, Lago Buenos Aires Department, March 24, 1995 (Imberti pers. comm.).*
Parque Nacional Bosques Petrificados de Jaramillo (PNBP), Deseado Department	Imberti <i>in</i> Darrieu et al. (2008)	Based on a PNBP bird list, no specific location or date provided (Administración de Parques Nacionales 2018).



Figure 1. Photographic evidence of Burrowing Owl in Santa Cruz province, southern continental Patagonia, Argentina. a) Burrowing Owls within an urban setting of Caleta Olivia city, May 30 2020, photo by S. Herrera; b) Juveniles at their burrow entrance, Caleta Olivia city, Nov 28 2018, photo by M. Barrientos Vidal c) Perching on a sand dune by the Atlantic coast shore, Playa Acina, 23 Jan 2020, photo by R. Montero d) Only record of the species for southwestern Santa Cruz, Río Bote, 11 Oct 2017, photo by R. Taggiasco.

Table 2. Records of Burrowing Owl in Santa Cruz province, 2012–2020. Georeferences included only when available. Those with photographic evidence available are indicated with an asterisk (*)

Date	Location	Number and age ^a	Habitat	Resident	Breeding	Reference
Sep 29–30, 2012	<i>Ca.</i> Cemetery, Caleta Olivia, 46°27'35"S 67°31'48"W	1	Urban	Yes	Yes	Mariela Barrientos Vidal, pers. comm.*
Oct 21–23, 2012	<i>Ca.</i> Cemetery, Caleta Olivia, 46°27'35"S 67°31'48"W	1	Urban	Yes	Yes	Mariela Barrientos Vidal, pers. comm.*
Feb 13, 2014	Barrio Costa del Sol, Caleta Olivia	NA	Urban	Yes	NA	Baltuska (2014)
Nov 24, 2014	Barrio Costa del Sol, Caleta Olivia	1	Urban	Yes	NA	Roesler (2014)
Nov 24, 2014	<i>Ca.</i> Cemetery, Caleta Olivia, 46°27'35"S 67°31'48"W	1	Urban	Yes	Yes	Mariela Barrientos Vidal, pers. comm.*
Aug 12, 2015	Rotary 23, Caleta Olivia, 46°26'40.4"S 67°32'41.8"W	1	Urban	Yes	NA	Silvia Herrera, pers. comm.*
Jan 7, 2016	La Lobería, RN3 46°06'54"S 67°37'42"W	1	Atlantic coast (side of RN3)	NA	NA	Rost (2016)
Oct 11, 2017	Río Bote, <i>ca.</i> Calafate	1	Patagonian steppe, abandoned small human settlement	NA	NA	Rubén Taggiasco, pers. comm.*
Mar 23, 2018	RP12, south of Caleta Olivia	1	Urban	NA	NA	Olejnuk (2016)
Mar 25, 2018	RN3, Caleta Olivia	2	Urban (side of RN3)	NA	NA	Llanos (2018)*
Jul 8, 2018	RN3, south of Caleta Olivia	3	Urban (side of RN3)	NA	NA	Mariela Barrientos Vidal, pers. comm.*
Nov 28, 2018	<i>Ca.</i> Cemetery, Caleta Olivia	3 Juveniles	Urban	Yes	Yes	Mariela Barrientos Vidal, pers. comm.*
Dec 16, 2018	<i>Ca.</i> JCT RN3 and RP12, Caleta Olivia	1	Urban	NA	NA	Martin and Roesler (2018a,b)
Dec 17, 2018	Barrio Costa del Sol, Caleta Olivia	1	Urban	Yes	NA	Martin and Roesler (2018c,d)

Jul 17, 2019	RN3, south of Caleta Olivia	6	Atlantic coast (side of RN3)	NA	NA	Brea and Sosa (2019a,b)*
Aug 12, 2019	RN3, south of Caleta Olivia	7	Atlantic coast	NA	NA	Sosa (2019)*
Oct 15, 2019	RN3, south of Caleta Olivia	1	Atlantic coast	NA	NA	Morgenthaler (2019)
Oct 21, 2019	Caleta Olivia	2	Urban/ Atlantic coast	NA	NA	García Loyola (2019)
Dec 1, 2019	RP12, Cañadón Seco	2	Urban	NA	NA	Klavins (2019a)
Dec 1–2, 2019	Barrio Costa del Sol, Caleta Olivia	2	Urban	Yes	NA	Klavins (2019b)
Dec 2, 2019	Caleta Olivia	1	Urban/ Atlantic coast	NA	NA	Álvarez (2019)
Dec 14, 2019	Racetrack, Río Gallegos 51°39'18.3"S 69°14'31.6"W	1	Urban	NA	NA	Pedro Aguila, pers. comm.*
Dec 29, 2019	RN3, south of Caleta Olivia 46°29'05.3"S 67°29'08.2"W	1	Atlantic coast	Yes	NA	Tiberi (2019)*
Jan 22, 2020	RN3, south of Caleta Olivia	2	Urban	NA	NA	Montero (2020a)
Jan 23, 2020	Playa Acina, 46°03'12"S 67°37'37"W	1	Atlantic coast	NA	NA	Montero (2020b)*
Feb 7–10, 2020	Barrio Costa del Sol, Caleta Olivia	2	Urban	Yes	NA	Klavins (2020)
Mar 1, 2020	Reserva Natural Provincial y Municipal "Humedal Caleta Olivia", Caleta Olivia 46°27'7"S 67°32'37"W	2, including one juvenile	Urban	Yes	Yes	Mariela Barrientos Vidal, pers. comm.*
Apr 28, 2020	Cañadón Seco 46°33'21.0"S 67°37'12.4"W	6 (including juveniles)	Pata-gonian steppe	Yes	Yes	Ávalos and Carranza (2020)*
May 5–11, 2020	Cañadón Seco	2–4	Pata-gonian steppe	Yes	Yes	Miguel Ávalos and Eduardo Carranza pers. comm.*
May 30, 2020	Rotary 23, Caleta Olivia 46°26'40.4"S 67°32'41.8"W	3, including one juvenile	Urban	NA	Yes	Silvia Herrera, pers. comm.*
June 2, 2020	Cañadón Seco	4 (one group) and 3 (another group)	Pata-gonian steppe	NA	NA	Miguel Ávalos and Eduardo Carranza pers. comm.*
July 7, 2020	Cañadón Seco 46°33'23.9"S 67°37'37.6"W	3 juveniles	Urban	Yes	Yes	Miguel Ávalos and Eduardo Carranza, pers. comm.

*All adults, except when noted

Only 2 records do not belong to northeastern Santa Cruz province, in or nearby areas of Caleta Olivia city, Deseado Department. One of these records comes from Río Bote, near the city of Calafate, Lago Argentino Department, southwestern Santa Cruz. One Burrowing Owl was observed there and photographed in October 2017 (Table 2, Fig. 1d). The other record would be the southernmost of the species in Santa Cruz and consists of one individual observed in Río Gallegos city, December 14, 2019 (Table 2).

Burrowing Owls were recorded yearlong and during all the months; the highest number of records (for all years combined) was in spring ($n = 14$), followed by summer ($n = 7$), fall ($n = 6$), and winter ($n = 5$). Records almost doubled in numbers during the period of spring–summer when compared with the number of records during the period fall–winter. Moreover, citizen scientists, birdwatchers, and ornithologists that we consulted consider the species to be a year-long resident (Table 2).

Most observations reported Burrowing Owls as being seen alone or in pairs, less commonly they were observed in small groups of 3–4 or more individuals (Table 2), mainly adults. Fledglings and juveniles were observed in the months of November (Fig. 1b), March, April, May, and July at different locations. Most reports also indicated the presence of nearby active burrows, in some cases used for many years (M. Barrientos Vidal, pers. comm.) (Fig. 1a).

DISCUSSION

Although the Burrowing Owl was included in the Santa Cruz province by many previously published ornithological reference books and field guides (see introduction for a selected list), we established that most historical reports for this province were inexact or erroneous, except for a few (Table 1). The alleged presence of this species in the Parque Nacional Bosques Petrificados de Jaramillo (APN 2018; see Table 1) needs confirmation, as it has not been reported there by several ornithological surveys (Chébez et al. 1988, De Lucca and Saggese 1992, Imberti pers. comm., Saggese et al. unpublished data).

Validated records during the period 2012–2020 (Table 2) are the only ones that support the current inclusion of Burrowing Owls in Santa Cruz province, but only for a few locations. We recommend caution when considering the number of records presented

here; they should not be taken as absolute indicators of the numbers/abundance of Burrowing Owls present in this province. Instead, they provide repeated evidence of their presence and their resident status for these years in or nearby the city of Caleta Olivia (Deseado Department, northeastern Santa Cruz). Some records, although separated by several weeks, at the same location (ca. Caleta Olivia's cemetery and Barrio Costa del Sol, and Cañadón Seco) probably represent the same individuals or populations residing there (Table 2). Nevertheless, these records indicate that the species seems to be thriving in areas within or around the city of Caleta Olivia.

The only previously published and validated reference for areas outside northeastern Santa Cruz was the observation made over a hundred years ago by Scott and Sharpe (1915) of two birds (collected) in Río Chico Department (Table 1). Whether the observations made at the settlement named Río Bote, near Calafate city (southwestern Santa Cruz) in 2017, and in Río Gallegos city, southeastern Santa Cruz in 2019 represent unique findings or whether they indicate additional population nucleus developing there needs to be further determined. Furthermore, raptor surveys (although not specific for Burrowing Owls) in several areas of this province between 2008 and 2011 (Saggese M, Ellis D, Quaglia AI, and Nelson RW, unpublished data, see Saggese et al. 2020 for routes and transects covered) and more than 10 years of bird watching in southern Santa Cruz (Amorós C and Amorós M, pers. comm.) did not register this species.

The reasons why the Burrowing Owl appears to have such a limited distribution in Santa Cruz province are not known. Food and nesting sites and nest substrates are important limiting factors for raptors worldwide (Newton 1979). The Patagonian Steppe ecoregion of Santa Cruz is considered as of low wildlife diversity when compared with the Monte ecoregion of central and northern Patagonia (Cueto et al. 2008, Pardiñas et al. 2011, Lessa et al. 2012, Britman et al. 2014, Roesler et al. 2014, Minoli et al. 2015), where Burrowing Owls are more commonly found. Therefore, it is possible that low prey richness and availability and/or its abundance may be limiting the geographical spread of this species.

Burrows are also a critical limiting resource for this species as nesting sites; some Burrowing Owl populations rely on burrows dug by other animals while other populations are known to dig their own (Conway 2018). In central Argentina, Burrowing Owls

dig their own burrows, but they can also use those made by mammals and reptiles, and even use human-made structures (Hudson 1920, Bellocq 1987, 1993, Machicote et al. 2004, Borsellino 2017, Baladrón et al. 2020). Whether in southern continental Patagonia the species do the same or they depend on burrows dug by local wildlife is unknown. The diversity of digging mammals is much lower in the Patagonian Steppe than in the Monte or Pampas ecoregions, and soil type can also influence Burrowing Owls' home-range selection (Stevensen et al. 2011). Oil digging machinery and bulldozers loosens densely compacted soil in some areas around Caleta Olivia which could be facilitating Burrowing Owl's use of these areas (L. M. Sosa, pers. comm.).

Predators may also be limiting the presence of Burrowing Owls in Santa Cruz. Low richness and abundance of predators in urban areas may explain changes in the habitat selection pattern of Burrowing Owls toward them (Rebolo-Ifrán et al. 2017). The fact that most of our records come from the city of Caleta Olivia and its surrounding areas suggests that predation could limit their expansion in Santa Cruz. Several species of diurnal and nocturnal raptors prey upon Burrowing Owl, sometimes even decimating local populations (Poulin et al. 2020). Recent observations of a Harris Hawk (*Parabuteo unicinctus*), a recently arrived raptor in Cañadón Seco, preying upon Burrowing Owls (M. Avalos, pers. comm.), suggests that predators could have a limiting role in the establishment of early nucleus populations.

The extinction of this species in Tierra del Fuego is allegedly caused by sheep ranching practices (Reynolds 1935, Iriarte et al. 2019). In other areas of Argentina, Burrowing Owls seem to coexist with agricultural practices, including cattle ranching (Di Giacomo 2005, de Tommaso et al. 2009, Sagge MD pers. obs). However, the real impact of current sheep ranching practices on this species in southern Patagonia is unknown.

Some Burrowing Owl's populations can exploit human-made habitats, sometimes with a preference for urban habitat over natural grasslands and low-intensity agropastoral lands (Conway et al. 2006, Baladrón et al. 2016, Borsellino 2017, Martínez et al. 2017, Rebolo-Ifrán et al. 2017). Burrowing Owls appear to be using both urban and natural habitats in Caleta Olivia and its surroundings. This city, near the Atlantic coast, is one of the largest and most rapidly growing cities in southern continental Patagonia,

with an estimated population of 52 612 inhabitants by 2010 (INDEC 2012). Burrowing Owls can use urbanized landscapes along the Atlantic coast in central Argentina (Baladrón et al. 2016, Martínez et al. 2017), without being adversely impacted when nesting in areas with moderate levels of urban development (Baladrón et al. 2020). While this association with urban habitats can result in positive outcomes for raptors, urban areas can also potentially act as an ecological trap (Isaac et al. 2014, Franco and Marçal-Júnior 2018, Mannan and Steidl 2018).

Climate may be another limiting factor for this species at these southern latitudes. Winters may be too cold to support a population in central and southern Santa Cruz province. However, the species used to be present in Tierra del Fuego, at much southern latitudes (Reynolds 1935, Iriarte et al. 2019), suggesting that low temperatures were not restrictive for the species. At least, it seems possible that climate may determine winter presence in Caleta Olivia and surrounding areas, as the number of records appear to decrease in winter (Table 1). This can either be result of a decrease in the number of observers and time dedicated to birdwatching due to harsh weather conditions or be a consequence of Burrowing Owl population dispersal and/or migration to more favorable latitudes (Holroyd et al. 2010, Poulin et al. 2020). However, studies conducted in the Pampean ecoregion of Argentina, at milder latitudes, indicate that Burrowing Owls usually disperse only on short distances and breeding pairs show nest site fidelity along the years (Luna et al. 2019, 2020).

The presence of juveniles in the months of November, March, April, May, and July, and reports of pairs breeding locally confirms northeastern Santa Cruz as the southernmost latitude where this species breeds along its Pan-American distribution. The presence of juveniles in spring, summer and winter may indicate the presence of family units due to delayed dispersal of some individuals, as reported in the Pampean ecoregion for both urban and rural populations (Luna et al. 2021). This can indicate either a very prolonged breeding season or that double brooding may occur in this area, as reported elsewhere (Gervais and Rosenberg 1999, Borsellino 2017, Poulin et al. 2020).

Current threats to the species in Santa Cruz are unknown. In the past, open petroleum pits were a serious threat to many Patagonian birds (Tubaro et al. 2000), including Burrowing Owls (Navas and Bó

1997). Collision with vehicles, predation by feral dogs, use of anticoagulant rodenticides, land disturbance, habitat loss and targeted persecution due to superstition are common threats to this species along its extensive range of distribution (Trejo and Bó 2015, Cavalli et al. 2016, Franco & Marçal-Junior 2018, Paulin et al. 2020).

The collaboration between ornithologists, wildlife photographers, and citizen scientists combined with information gathered from social media platforms has provided an important benefit to our understanding of the natural history, ecology, and conservation of avian species, including Burrowing Owls and other raptors (Cavalli et al. 2014, Saggese et al. 2014). As a result of this study, we have expanded the current known range of the species at the southernmost limit of its distribution, confirmed its breeding and year-long resident status, and the colonization of an urban area in the Patagonian Steppe and coastal sand dunes in the Mar Argentino ecoregion.

Results of this study do not mean that we know in detail the overall distribution of Burrowing Owls in Santa Cruz, a province that covers a surface of 4459 km² and has one of the lowest human population sizes, mostly restricted to a few cities and towns. We can assume that most sightings of Burrowing Owls reported here belong to areas of higher human development, such as some cities and surrounding areas, whereas vast areas of the province may be neglected. Citizen science is a useful tool in ornithological studies but it also offers some potential challenges, such as spatial bias and variation in effort (Johnston et al. 2021). This can be solved by future geographically extensive and focused Burrowing Owl surveys that follow specific methodologies recommended for the study of this species (Conway and Johnson 2003).

The southernmost known population of Burrowing Owl in the world provides a unique opportunity to monitor the progress of its nucleus, not only in the city of Caleta Olivia but also in the rest of Santa Cruz province. Furthermore, this population could represent a suitable model to understand several aspects of their ecology at the southern limit of its distribution including: (a) How breeding phenology and ecology compares to northern populations? (b) What are the advantages and disadvantages of Burrowing Owl's association with the city of Caleta Olivia? (c) How the factors that contribute to Burrowing Owl's urban colonization in other ecoregions support their presence in this city and in the Patagonian Steppe? (d) What is

the pattern of juvenile dispersal? (e) Are Burrowing Owls migratory at these latitudes? (f) What factors are limiting its distribution in Santa Cruz, and (g) Are there potential threats for the successful stability of the species in this province? Further research in the following years will help us to answer these and other questions regarding the presence of Burrowing Owl in Santa Cruz province.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to all the citizen scientists, bird watchers and ornithologists (included in the tables) whose observations have contributed to expand our knowledge on the Burrowing Owls inhabiting Santa Cruz. Special thanks to E. Tiberi for his invaluable help with the identification of mismatched and new records and for his assistance with gathering data. M. Barrientos Vidal, P. Águila, M. Avalos, R. Taggiasco, and S. Herrera supplied us with photographs of this species to illustrate this article. We are grateful to F. Gorleri from Aves Argentinas for his assistance and help to access records from eBird. S. Imberti, M. Amorós, R. Montoya Assan, L. Martin, A. Di Giacomo, L.M. Sosa, N. Olejnik, P. Águila, J.V. Llanos and M. Ávalos helped us to clarify the information available for some records. We also thank the Secretaría de Fauna Silvestre–Consejo Agrario of Santa Cruz province (disposition DFS–CAP NQ20/09) and the Administración de Parques Nacionales (C. Chehebar, E. Ramilo and H. Pastore) for allowing us to study raptors under their jurisdiction. S. Henricksen and D. Griffon (Western University of Health Sciences), J.M. Blanco (Eagle Conservation Alliance), W. Voelker (Comanche–Sia Nation), L. Joyner (Lafeber Company), the Field Museum of Natural History, I. Tizard (the Schubot Exotic Bird Center at Texas A&M University), and coinvestigators D. Ellis, R.W. Nelson, J. Morrison, I. Caballero, C. Ellis, and A.I.E. Quaglia, contributed in different ways to our studies. J. Álvarez, G. Rost, and E. Rost accompanied R. Montero in recent birding trips in Santa Cruz. M.S. Liebana, M.R. de la Peña, and M.S. Bó kindly assisted with literature on the species. H. Smoldaka kindly reviewed our manuscript and assisted with English grammar. We thank the three anonymous reviewers and the editors of *El Hornero* who made very helpful comments and suggestions on our manuscript. Finally, we dedicate this paper to Nelly A. Bó, Jorge R. Navas, Maria I. Bellocq and Elio Massoia, who pioneered studies on the distribution, breeding and feeding ecology of Strigiformes in Argentina.

LITERATURE CITED

- APN (ADMINISTRACIÓN DE PARQUES NACIONALES) (2018) *Plan de gestión Parque Nacional Bosques Petrificados de Jaramillo y Monumento Natural Bosques Petrificados*. Administración de Parques Nacionales, Caleta Olivia
- ÁLVAREZ D (2019) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S61939980>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- ÁVALOS M AND CARRANZA E (2020) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S67998330>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- BALADRÓN AV, CAVALLI M, ISACCH JP, AND BÓ MS (2020) Burrowing Owl nest distribution and density in relation to urban development. *Ethology Ecology and Evolution* 32:237-250
- BALADRÓN AV, ISACCH JP, CAVALLI M, AND BÓ MS (2016). Habitat selection by Burrowing Owls *Athene cunicularia* in the Pampas of Argentina: a multiple-scale assessment. *Acta Ornithologica* 51:137-150
- BALTUSKA M (2014) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S17011418>. eBird: an online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (url: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- BELLOCO MI (1987) Selección de hábitat de caza y depredación diferencial de *Athene cunicularia* sobre roedores en ecosistemas agrarios. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural* 60:81-86
- BELLOCO MI (1993) Reproducción, crecimiento y mortalidad de la Lechucita Vizcachera (*Speotyto cunicularia*) en agroecosistemas pampeanos. *Hornero* 13:272-276
- BORSELLINO L (2017) Doble puesta exitosa en Lechucita de las Vizcacheras (*Athene cunicularia*). *Nuestras Aves* 62:41-44
- BREA E AND SOSA LM (2019a) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S58259625>. eBird: an online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (url: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- BREA E AND SOSA LM (2019b) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S58259602>. eBird: an online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (url: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- BREITMAN MF, MINOLI I, ÁVILA LJ, MEDINA CD, SITES JR JW, AND MORANDO M (2014) Lagartijas de la provincia de Santa Cruz, Argentina: distribución geográfica, diversidad genética y estado de conservación. *Cuadernos de Herpetología* 28:83-110
- BURKART R, BÁRBARO NO, SÁNCHEZ RO AND GÓMEZ DA (1999) *Ecoregiones de la Argentina*. Administración de Parques Nacionales, Buenos Aires
- CANEVARI M, CANEVARI P, CARRIZO GH, HARRIS G, MATA JR, AND STRANECK RJ (1991) *Nueva guía de las aves argentinas*. Fundación Acindar, Buenos Aires
- CAVALLI MA, BALADRÓN AV, ISACCH JP, BIONDI LM AND BÓ MS (2016) Differential risk perception of rural and urban Burrowing Owls exposed to humans and dogs. *Behavioral Processes* 124:60-65
- CAVALLI M, BALADRÓN AV, ISACCH JP, BIONDI LM AND BÓ MS (2018) The role of habituation in the adjustment to urban life: an experimental approach with Burrowing Owls. *Behavioural Processes* 157:250-255
- CAVALLI M, BALADRÓN AV, ISACCH JP, BÓ MS, AND MARTÍNEZ G (2014) Social networks and ornithology studies: an innovative method for rapidly accessing data on conspicuous bird species. *Biodiversity Conservation* 23:2127-2134
- CHÉBEZ JC, BERTONATTI C, JOHNSON A, HEINONEN FORTABAT S AND GIL G (1988) Notas sobre la distribución de algunas aves santacruceñas. *Boletín Científico Aprona* 8:14-27
- CLARK RJ (1997) A review of the taxonomy and distribution of the Burrowing Owl (*Speotyto cunicularia*). *Journal of Raptor Research Report* 9:14-23
- CONWAY CJ (2018) Spatial and temporal patterns in population trends and burrow usage of Burrowing Owls in North America. *Journal of Raptor Research* 52:129-142
- CONWAY CJ, GARCIA V, SMITH MD, ELLIS LA AND WHITNEY JL (2006) Comparative demography of Burrowing Owls in agricultural and urban landscapes in southeastern Washington. *Journal of Field Ornithology* 77:280-290
- CONWAY CJ AND JOHNSON JC (2003) Comparison of detection probability associated with Burrowing Owl survey methods. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 67:501-511
- CORONATO A, CORONATO F, MAZZONI E AND VÁZQUEZ M (2008) The physical geography of Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego. Pp. 13–56 in: RABASSA J (ed) *The Late Cenozoic of Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego*. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- CUETO GR, TETA P AND DE CARLI P (2008) Rodents from southern Patagonian semi-arid steppes (Santa Cruz Province, Argentina). *Journal of Arid Environments* 72:56-61
- DARRIEU CA, CAMPERI AR, AND IMBERTI S (2008) Avifauna (Non-Passeriformes) of Santa Cruz province, Patagonia (Argentina): annotated list of species. *Revista*

- del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales (Nueva Serie) 10:111-145
- DE LA PEÑA MR (2019) Aves Argentinas: Descripción, Comportamiento, Reproducción y Distribución (Actualización). Columbidae, Cuculidae, Tytonidae, Strigidae, Nyctibiidae, Caprimulgidae, Apodidae and Trochilidae. *Comunicaciones del Museo Provincial de Ciencias Naturales "Florentino Ameghino"* (Nueva Serie) 6:171-179
- DE LUCCA ER AND SAGGESE MD (1989) Rapaces patagónicas: factores que las afectan. *Nuestras Aves* 17:3
- DE LUCCA ER AND SAGGESE MD (1992). Aves del departamento Deseado, Santa Cruz. *Hornero* 13:259-260
- DE TOMMASO DC, CALLICÓ FORTUNATO R, TETA P AND PEREIRA JA (2009) Dieta de la Lechucita Vizcachera (*Athene cunicularia*) en dos áreas con diferente uso de la tierra en el centro-sur de la provincia de La Pampa, Argentina. *Hornero* 24:87-93
- DI GIACOMO A.G (2005) Aves de la Reserva El Bagual. Pp. 201–465 in: DI GIACOMO AG AND KRAPOVICKAS SF (eds.). *Historia natural y paisaje de la Reserva El Bagual, provincia de Formosa, Argentina*. Buenos Aires: Temas de naturaleza y conservación, Monografía de aves Argentinas No. 4.
- DI GIACOMO AS (2007) Conservación de aves en Santa Cruz. Pp 405–407 in: DI GIACOMO AS, DE FRANCESCO MV AND COCONIER EG (eds) Áreas importantes para la conservación de las aves en Argentina. Sitios prioritarios para la conservación de la biodiversidad. Temas de Naturaleza y Conservación. Volumen 5. Aves Argentinas/Asociación Ornitológica del Plata, Buenos Aires.
- FJELDSÅ J AND KRABBE N (1990) *The birds of the high Andes. A manual to the birds of the temperate zone of the Andes and Patagonia, South America*. Apollo Books. Copenhagen
- FRANCO FF AND MARÇAL-JÚNIOR O (2018) Influence of urbanization on the distribution and defense strategies of the Burrowing Owl *Athene cunicularia* in the city of Uberlândia, southeastern Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia* 26:1–8.
- GARCÍA BREA A, ZAPATA SC, PROCOPIO DE, MARTÍNEZ PECK R, AND TRAVAINI A (2010) Evaluación del interés de productores ganaderos en el control selectivo y eficiente de predadores en la Patagonia austral. *Acta Zoológica Mexicana* (Nueva serie) 26:303-321
- GARCIA LOYOLA E (2019) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S60962802>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- GERVAIS JA AND ROSENBERG DK (1999) Western Burrowing Owls in California produce second broods of chicks. *Wilson Bulletin* 111:569-571
- HOLROYD GL, RODRÍGUEZ-ESTRELLA R AND SHEFFIELD SR (2001) Conservation of the Burrowing Owl in western North America: issues, challenges, and recommendations. *Journal of Raptor Research* 35:399-407
- HOLROYD GL, TREFRY HE AND DUXBURY JM (2010) Winter destinations and habitats of Canadian Burrowing Owls. *Journal of Raptor Research* 44:294-299
- HUDSON WH (1920) *Birds of la Plata*. Dent & Sons, London
- IMBERTI S (2005) *Aves de Los Glaciares. Inventario Ornitológico del Parque Nacional Los Glaciares, Santa Cruz, Patagonia, Argentina*. Aves Argentinas y Administración de Parques Nacionales, Buenos Aires
- INDEC (INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE ESTADÍSTICAS Y CENSOS) (2012) *Censo nacional de población, hogares y viviendas 2010. Censo del Bicentenario: resultados definitivos*. Serie B n° 2. 1a ed. Volumen 1, Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas y Censos de Argentina (INDEC), Buenos Aires
- IRIARTE A, RIVAS-FUENZALIDA T, AND JAKSIC F (2019) *Las Aves Rapaces de Chile*. Ediciones Flora & Fauna Chile Limitada y CAPES-UC, Santiago de Chile
- ISAAC BA, COOKE R, IERODIACONOU D, AND WHITE J (2014) Does urbanization have the potential to create an ecological trap for powerful owls (*Ninox strenua*)? *Biological Conservation* 176:1–11.
- JOHNSTON A, HOCHACHKA WM, STRIMAS-MACKEY ME, GUTIERREZ VR, ROBINSON OJ, MILLER ET, AUER T, KELLING ST AND FINK D (2021) Analytical guidelines to increase the value of community science data: An example using eBird data to estimate species distributions. *Diversity and Distributions* (in press)
- KLAVINS J (2019a) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S61894028>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- KLAVINS J (2019b) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S62165944>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- KLAVINS J (2020) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S64264578>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- LESSA EP, D'ELIA G, AND PARDIÑAS UFJ (2012) Mammalian biogeography of Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego. Pp. 379-398 in: PATTERSON BD AND COSTA LP (eds) *Bones, clones, and biomes: The History and Geography of Recent Neotropical Mammals*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago

- LLANOS J (2018) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S43959780>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- LUNA Á, LOIS NA, RODRÍGUEZ-MARTÍNEZ S, PALMA A, SANZ-AGUILAR A, TELLA JL AND CARRETE M (2021) Urban life promotes delayed dispersal and family living in a non-social bird species. *Scientific Reports* 11:1-15
- LUNA Á, PALMA A, SANZ-AGUILAR A, TELLA JL AND CARRETE M (2019) Personality-dependent breeding dispersal in rural but not urban Burrowing Owls. *Scientific Reports* 9:1-8
- LUNA Á, PALMA A, SANZ-AGUILAR A, TELLA JL AND CARRETE M (2020) Sex, personality and conspecific density influence natal dispersal with lifetime fitness consequences in urban and rural Burrowing Owls. *PloS one* 15:e0226089.
- MACHICOTE M, BRANCH L, AND VILLARREAL D (2004) Burrowing owls and burrowing mammals: Are ecosystem engineers interchangeable as facilitators? *Oikos* 106:527-535
- MANNAN RW AND STEIDL RJ (2018) Demography of raptor populations in urban environments. Pp. 51-63 in: BOAL CW AND DYKSTRA CR (eds) *Urban raptors: ecology and conservation of birds of prey in cities*. Island Press, Washington DC
- MARKS JS, CANNINGS RJ, AND MIKKOLA H (1999) Family Strigidae (typical owls). Pp. 76-242 in: DEL HOYO J, ELLIOT A AND SARGATAL J (eds) *Handbook of the birds of the world. Volume 5. Barn owls to hummingbirds*. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona
- MARTIN L AND ROESLER I (2018a) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S50875943>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- MARTIN L AND ROESLER I (2018b) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S50731987>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- MARTIN L AND ROESLER I (2018c) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S50875971>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- MARTIN L AND ROESLER I (2018d) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S50765133>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- MARTÍNEZ G, BALADRÓN AV, CAVALLI S, BÓ MS AND ISACCH JP (2017) Microscale nest-site selection by the Burrowing Owl in the Pampas of Argentina. *Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 129:62-70
- MAZAR-BARNETT J AND PEARMAN M (2001) *Lista comentada de las aves argentinas*. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona
- MAYDS AND AA (MINISTERIO DE AMBIENTE Y DESARROLLO SUSTENTABLE Y AVES ARGENTINA) (2017) *Categorización de las aves de la Argentina*. Informe del Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sustentable de la Nación y de Aves Argentinas, edición electrónica. C. A. Buenos Aires, Argentina (URL: <https://avesargentinas.org.ar/sites/default/files/Categorizacion-de-aves-de-la-Argentina.pdf>)
- MINOLI I, MORANDO M, AND AVILA LJ (2015). Reptiles of Chubut province, Argentina: richness, diversity, conservation status and geographic distribution maps. *ZooKeys* 498:103-126
- MONTERO R (2020a) Lechucita Vizcachera (*Athene cunicularia*). <https://www.ecoregistros.org/site/registro.php?id=1181059>. (URL: <http://www.ecoregistros.org>)
- MONTERO R (2020b) Lechucita Vizcachera (*Athene cunicularia*). <https://www.ecoregistros.org/site/imagen.php?id=396195> (URL: <http://www.ecoregistros.org>)
- MORGENTHALE A (2019) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S60663957>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- NAROSKY T AND YZURIETA D (1987) *Guía para la identificación de las aves de Argentina y Uruguay*. Vázquez Mazzini Editores, Buenos Aires
- NAVAS JR AND BÓ NA (1997) Nuevos aportes sobre las características y la distribución geográfica de *Speotyto cunicularia partridgei* (Aves: Strigidae). *Neotrópica* 43:127-128.
- NEWTON I (1979) *Population Ecology of Raptors*. Buteo Books, Vermillion
- OLEJNIK N (2018) Lechucita Vizcachera (*Athene cunicularia*). <https://www.ecoregistros.org/site/registro.php?id=718200> (URL: <http://www.ecoregistros.org>)
- OLROG CC (1979a) Alarmante escasez de rapaces en el sur argentino. *Hornero* 12:8-284
- OLROG CC (1979b) *Nueva lista de la avifauna Argentina*. Opera Lilloana 27. Instituto Miguel Lillo, San Miguel de Tucumán
- OLROG CC (1984) *Las aves argentinas: una guía de campo*. Administración de Parques Nacionales, Buenos Aires
- PAIRO PE, LEVEAU LM AND BELLOCO MI (2017) Nesting site selection by the Burrowing Owl (*Athene cunicularia*) in rolling pampa agroecosystems. *Ecología Austral* 27:375-384
- PARDIÑAS UFJ, TETA P, D'ELIA G AND LESSA EP (2011) The evolutionary history of Sigmodontinae rodents in

- Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 103:495-513
- PARUELO MJ, BELTRÁN A, JÓBBAGY E, SALA OE AND GOLLUSCIO RA (1998) The climate of Patagonia: general patterns and control on biotic processes. *Ecología Austral* 8:85-101
- POULIN RG, TODD LD, HAUG EA, MILLSAP BA AND MARTELL MS (2020) Burrowing Owl (*Athene cunicularia*). Version 1.0. in: POOLE AF (ed). *Birds of the World*. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca
- RANGEL-SALAZAR JL AND ENRÍQUEZ PL (2015) Las aves de la región neotropical. Pp. 21-28 in: ENRÍQUEZ P (ed). *Los Buhos Neotropicales: diversidad y conservación*. ECOSUR, México DF
- REBOLO-IFRÁN N, TELLA JL AND CARRETE M (2017) Urban conservation hotspots: predation release allows the grassland specialist Burrowing Owl to perform better in the city. *Scientific Reports* 7:3527
- REYNOLDS PW (1935) Notes on the birds of Cape Horn. *Ibis* 13:65-101.
- RODRÍGUEZ A, OROZCO-VALOR PM AND SARASOLA JH (2021) Artificial light at night as a driver of urban colonization by an avian predator. *Landscape Ecology* 36:17-27.
- ROESLER I (2014) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S20655867>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- ROESLER I, IMBERTI S, CASAÑAS HE, HERNÁNDEZ PM, KLAUVINS JM, AND PAGANO LG (2014) Noteworthy records and natural history comments on rare and threatened bird species from Santa Cruz province, Patagonia, Argentina. *Revista Brasileira de Ornitología* 22:189-200
- ROST GE (2016) Lechucita Vizcachera (*Athene cunicularia*). <https://www.ecoregistros.org/site/registro.php?id=387163> (URL: <http://www.ecoregistros.org>)
- SAGGESE MD, QUAGLIA AIE, ELLIS DH, ELLIS CH, IMBERTI S, NELSON RW, CABALLERO IC AND TREJO A (2020) Variable Hawk (*Geranoaetus polyosoma*) is a rare nesting species in Santa Cruz province, southern continental Patagonia, Argentina. *Journal of Raptor Research* 54:447-454
- SAGGESE MD, ROESLER I AND MARANO CF (2014) Wintering of Ospreys in Argentina: insights from new records between 1993-2008. *Journal of Raptor Research* 48:345-360
- SÁNCHEZ KB, MALIZIA AI AND BÓ MS (2008) Trophic ecology of the Burrowing Owl (*Athene cunicularia*) in urban environments of Mar Chiquita Biosphere Reserve (Buenos Aires Province, Argentina). *Ornitología Neotropical* 19:71-80
- SCOTT WED AND SHARPE RB (1915) Vol. II, Ornithology, Part. IV. Anatidae-Tytonidae. Pp. 505-718 in: SCOTT WED (ed) *Reports of the Princeton University Expeditions to Patagonia, 1896-1899*. Princeton University, Princeton
- SEXTON JP, MCINTYRE PJ, ANGERT AL AND RICE KJ (2009) Evolution and ecology of species range limits. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 40:415-436
- SOSA LM (2019) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S58983550>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- STEVENSEN AFJ, BAYNE EM AND WELLCOME TI (2011) Soil and climate are better than biotic land cover for predicting home-range habitat selection by endangered Burrowing Owls across the Canadian Prairies. *Biological Conservation* 144:1526-1536
- TIBERI E (2019) eBird Checklist: <http://ebird.org/ebird/view/checklist/S62757696>. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance (web application). eBird, Ithaca (URL: <http://www.ebird.org>)
- TRAVAINI A, BUSTAMANTE J, NEGRO JJ AND QUINTANA RD (2004) ¿Puntos fijos o recorridos lineales para el censo de aves en la estepa patagónica? *Ornitología Neotropical* 115:513-525
- TRAVAINI A, ZAPATA SC, MARTÍNEZ-PECK R AND DELIBES M (2000). Percepción y actitud humanas hacia la predación de ganado ovino por el zorro colorado (*Pseudalopex culpaeus*) en Santa Cruz, Patagonia Argentina. *Mastozoología Neotropical* 7:117-129
- TREJO A, BÓ MS AND BIONDI L (2012) Buhos de Argentina: estado de conservación y prioridades de investigación. *Ornitología Neotropical* 23:219-226
- TREJO A AND BÓ MS (2015) The owls of Argentina. Pp. 42-63 in: ENRÍQUEZ P (ed) *Los Buhos Neotropicales: diversidad y conservación*. ECOSUR, México DF
- TUBARO P, GABELLI F AND REBOREDA JC (2000) Erradicación de las piletas de petróleo al norte de Santa Cruz. *Naturaleza & Conservación* 6:14-22
- ZAPATA ARP (1967) Observaciones sobre aves de Puerto Deseado, provincia de Santa Cruz. *Hornero* 10:351-383